UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/037,184 | 01/02/2002 | David I. Poisner | 42390.P12974 | 6681 | |
| 7590 09/06/2007 Saina S. Shamilov BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHAN, T | PHAN, THANH S | |
| Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | CA 90025-1026 | | 2833 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/06/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/037,184 | POISNER, DAVID I. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Thanh S. Phan | 2833 | | | | |
| Dowland 6 | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed If the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 J | lune 2007 | • | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | , | · | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 48-60</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | • | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| |) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Pape | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | anom rippinoditori | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/037,184

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 48, 54, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain the limitation, reading a time of exiting a reduced power consumption state **prior** to exiting the reduced power consumption state in response to an interrupt. It is not possible to determined the time of exiting if it is not yet happened, therefor the "time of exiting" a reduced power consumption state **prior** to exiting is not obtainable. Clarification is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 48-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunstan [US 5,714,870].

Regarding claims 48-60, Dunstan discloses an electronic device configured for monitoring power consumed while said device is in a reduced power condition, comprising an electrical energy storage unite powering said device, a processor, a memory, a display device and a power consumption monitor, said power consumption

monitor including means for respectively determining when said electronic device enters and exits the reduced-power state, a means for determining a charge capacity of said electrical energy storage unit before and after said electronic device is in the reduced power state, a means for determining a period of time for calculating charge capacity lost by said electrical energy storage unit during the period of time that the electronic device is in the reduced power state [see claim 13]; a means/method for interruption causing the processor to enter a reduced-power mode of operation [column 5; lines 36-39]. Dunstan discloses the claimed invention except the entering time is stored in the chip. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known to use chips to stores data/information in the micro-processing environment such as notebook computer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention was made to store data in a chip or a memory means in Dunstan to facilitate rapid access to stored data for calculations purpose.

Regarding claims, 1-3 and 5, the method steps are necessitated by the apparatus structure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/04/07 have been considered but they are moot in view of the new 35 U.S.C. 112 of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,184

Art Unit: 2833

273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tsp

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4